IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 21277 of 2004

Between:

K.Anjaneyulu, S/o K.Venkateswarlu,
R/o Near Old Bus Stand, Sattenapalli Town, Guntur District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Sattenapalli Municipality rep.by its Commissioner, Sattenapalli, Guntur District
2 The Director, Municipal Administration,
Govt.of A.P., Hyderabad
3 The District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur
4 The Engineer-in-Chief, Public Health, A.C.Guards, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the authorities of the 1st respondent-Municipality in finalising the tenders issued in pursuance of the Tender Notice dated 23-10-2004 through Roc.No.628/2004-E.1 in violation of the law and the guidelines issued by the Government as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and against to the principles of natural justice

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.B.CHINNAPA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.POLISETTI RADHAKRISHNA

Counsel for respondents 2 to 4: G.P.for Municipal Administration.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration.

It is the admitted case of the petitioner that the respondents have finalized the tenders in pursuance of the notification dated 23-10-2004. But it is the case of the petitioner that the respondents have violated the guidelines issued by the Government.

As seen from the record, the petitioner himself is a black listed contractor. Therefore, I do not see any reason to entertain this writ petition as the tenders have already been finalized. If the petitioner is so aggrieved, for the reasons stated in the affidavit, he can filed a suit claiming damages against the respondents.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed at the admission stage.

7—6—2005.

Stv/-

1 The Sattenapalli Municipality rep.by its Commissioner, Sattenapalli, Guntur District
2 The Director, Municipal Administration,
Govt.of A.P., Hyderabad
3 The District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur
4 The Engineer-in-Chief, Public Health, A.C.Guards, Hyderabad

5 Two CCs to the GP for Municipal Administration, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).